

---

# Early Childhood Education And Crime: A Review (2019)

## Abstract:

This article presents new evidence on the crime-reducing impacts of a high-quality, intensive early childhood program with long-term follow-up, evaluated by a randomized controlled trial. Proportionately, more women than men decrease their criminal activity after participating in the program. This gender difference arises because of the worse home environments for girls, with corresponding greater scope for improvement by the program. For both genders, treatment effects are larger for the least advantaged children, as measured by their mother's education at baseline. The dollar value of the social cost of criminal activity averted is higher for men because they commit more costly violent crimes.

- **Keywords:** gender differences, early childhood education, disadvantages.

## Introduction

In 2019, This research is very good for review because children were enrolled in this center-based program at 8 weeks of age until the first stage of the program ended at age 5 years children who participated in both studies were born with highly disadvantaged families in Chapel Hill area. Three scholars from different universities (Jorge Luis García, James J. Heckman, and Anna L. Ziff) published a 9-page article entitled Early childhood education and crime as a follow-up to analyze crime in early childhood. Perry's Preschool Program reduces violent crime among boys (Heckman, Moon, Pinto, Savelyev, & Yavitz, 2010b). The program reduces involvement in crime for both males and females, but more so for females, who have a lower baseline rate of crime participation. Early childhood education promotes self-control. One of the main benefits of the Preschool Program Perry reduces violent crime among boys (Heckman, Moon, Pinto, Savelyev, & Yavitz, 2010b).

Early childhood education program investigates whether an influential and widely emulated it reduced involvement in the crime. Early childhood education promotes self-control and reduces externalizing behavior. This is the important mediator to reduce involvement in criminal behavior (Blackwell & Piquero, 2005; Heckman, Pinto, & Savelyev, 2013). This article contributes to the reduction of criminalization involvement in early childhood.

Early childhood program starting at 8 weeks and continuing through age 5 years, on the criminal activity of participants. They analyze crime in ABC care the program Perry's Preschool. They Analyze data collected from subjects in the ABC/CARE program evaluated by randomized controlled trial (RCT). This data combines the Carolina Abecedarian Project (ABC) and the Carolina Approach to Responsive Education (CARE). The goal of ABC/CARE was to promote language and cognitive development. To this end, the center-based curriculum encouraged interactions between teachers and students (Sparling & Lewis, 1979, 1984).

This article demonstrates sharp gender differences in responses to early childhood education in terms of crime averted for disadvantaged boys and girls. Treatment effects are more often statistically significant for girls than for boys at conventional levels. While the program benefits

---

females more regarding the number of criminal outcomes with positive treatment effects and positive and significant treatment effects, the dollar values of crimes averted for men are much higher. The results are consistent with García, Heckman, and Zi? (2018), who reported that at baseline, girls are at a greater socio-economic disadvantage so that the program has greater scope for improving girls' lives. The program is most effective for the most disadvantaged children of both genders.

## Review Of Findings

The article study uses a qualitative approach. This is especially the case for crime, which is primarily committed during and after puberty (Mott, 1993). This research using the crime data, collected through both self-reports and administrative records. Administrative data on arrests and sentences are available at age 34 for subjects in ABC and CARE. At age 21 in ABC, we have data on the type of crime committed: violent, property, drug, or other. Self-reported data on offenses are collected at ages 21 and 34 for both ABC and CARE because the data capture crimes committed outside of North Carolina or unreported crimes while the administrative data can fill in gaps from underreporting in the self-reports using manual match.

This research makes the Program has self-reported and administrative data on crime outcomes collected when the subjects are adults. While other randomized studies of programs have larger samples and multisite designs, few programs currently have longitudinal data that facilitate analyzing how early childhood education causally reduces criminal activity (Elango, García, Heckman, & Hojman, 2016).

The first finding is that the intensive early childhood program is much more effective on girls than boys and the reason is uncovered to be because girls have a worse home environment which becomes better after they participate in the program. Gender difference in crime is a regular thing (Rowe et al., 1995). Females were most likely to be victims of domestic homicides and sex-related homicides (Cooper & Smith, 2012). According to another study about crime and sex differences, one of the reasons females have lower rates of offending is because they acquire social cognitive skills earlier in life than males do and because they have better prosocial skills (Bennett et al., 2005). So the authors were correct when they say that the girls gained more benefit from this program than the boys did.

It is good that the authors' paper was balanced because it also indicates that thanks to the program, boys avoided much more hypothetical costly crimes because males were most likely to be victims of drug-related and gang-related homicides (Cooper & Smith, 2012). This finding is also supported by the fact that men commit more crimes than women consistently (Carrabine et al., 2014) and in crimes dealing with injury and death, men do them more than women apparently (Rowe et al., 1995). For children, the Center for Sex Offender Management indicates that approximately one-fifth of all rapes and one-half of all sexual child molestation can be accounted for by juveniles (Hunter et al., 1999).

Another famous and common topic to delve into crime reduction study is the category of privilege. The author's research used the mother's education as a baseline and concluded that the program's effect on underprivileged students is more effective. There is a study that found that full-day program reduces youth crime because the increased adult supervision decreases the likelihood of girls or boys engaging in risky behavior, and this is particularly effective for

---

children in poor families (Berthelon et al, 2011). Education is obviously important to prevent and reduce crime in children (Weston, 1939).

## **Strength & Weakness**

This article may be particularly notable because it provides new evidence of the long history of crime reduction studies. It gives the treatment in the form of an intensive early childhood program with long-term follow-up, so that means this article is a lot more thorough than most studies that limit themselves to the aftermath of particular programs that the authors are bold enough to claim that their data is new evidence.

The environment is better for boys so the programs we study have greater coverage to improve the lives of girls because it makes the Preschool Program Perry. While the program benefits females more regarding the number of criminal outcomes with positive treatment effects and positive and significant treatment effects, the dollar values of crimes averted for men are much higher. Our results are consistent with García, Heckman, and Zi (2018), who reported that at baseline, girls are at a greater socio-economic disadvantage so that the program has greater scope for improving girls lives.

There is a possible weakness in the research design for it does not use parental inputs, it is possible that other investments, such as early childhood education, have certain components that affect boys and girls differently. The children's skills imply outcomes of interest that could have social factors influencing the subsequent skill formation differently for males and females. This fact leads to a better environment for boys so that the program that we study has a greater scope for improving girls' lives. Apart from parental inputs, it is possible that other investments, such as early childhood education, have certain components that affect boys and girls differently. Magnuson et al. (2016) provided a discussion of some of the components of center-based education, such as gender in particular, that could differently affect boys and girls. For example, Holmlund and Sund (2008) reported that teachers respond more positively to children of the same sex.

## **Flaws**

This research does not use parental inputs, it is possible that other investments, such as early childhood education, have certain components that affect boys and girls differently. The children's skills imply outcomes of interest that could have social factors influencing the subsequent skill formation differently for males and females. This fact leads to a better environment for boys so that the program that we study has a greater scope for improving girls' lives. Apart from parental inputs, it is possible that other investments, such as early childhood education, have certain components that affect boys and girls differently. Magnuson et al. (2016) provided a discussion of some of the components of center-based education, such as gender in particular, that could differently affect boys and girls. For example, Holmlund and Sund (2008) reported that teachers respond more positively to children of the same sex.

## **Conclusion**

This article gives present a program that improve education and reduce crime for demonstrates sharp gender differences in responses to early childhood education in terms of crime averted for

---

disadvantaged boys and girls. Treatment effects are more often statistically significant for girls than for boys at conventional levels. While the program benefits females more regarding the number of criminal outcomes with positive treatment effects and positive and significant treatment effects, the dollar values of crimes averted for men are much higher. Our results are consistent with García, Heckman, and Zi (2018), who reported that at baseline, girls are at a greater socioeconomic disadvantage so that the program has greater scope for improving girls' lives. The program is most effective for the most disadvantaged children of both genders.

## References

1. Heckman, J. J., & Karapakula, G. (2018). Design-specific inference of treatment effects: Understanding the long-term impact of high quality targeted preschool. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago, Department of Economics.
2. Blackwell, B. S., & Piquero, A. R. (2005). On the relationships between gender, power control, self-control, and crime. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 33(1), 1–17.
3. Anderson, M. L. (2008). Multiple inference and gender differences in the effects of early intervention: A reevaluation of the Abecedarian, Perry Preschool, and Early Training Projects. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 103(484), 1481–1495.
4. Ramey, C. T., & Campbell, F. A. (1979). Compensatory education for disadvantaged children. *The School Review*, 87(2), 171–189.
5. Ramey, C. T., & Campbell, F. A. (1984). Preventive education for high risk children: Cognitive consequences of the Carolina Abecedarian Project. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency*, 88(5), 515–523.
6. Blackwell, B. S., & Piquero, A. R. (2005). On the relationships between gender, power control, self-control, and crime. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 33(1), 1–17.
7. Campbell, F. A., Conti, G., Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., Pungello, E. P., & Pan, Y. (2014). Early childhood investments substantially boost adult health. *Science*, 343(6178), 1478–1485.
8. Anderson, D. A. (2012). The cost of crime. *Foundations and Trends in Microeconomics*, 7(3), 209–265.
9. Gross, R. T., Spiker, D. & Haynes, C. W. (eds). (1997). *Helping low birth weight, premature babies: the infant health and development program*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
10. Heckman, J. J., & Karapakula, G. (2018). Design-specific inference of treatment effects: Understanding the long-term impact of high quality targeted preschool. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago, Department of Economics.
11. Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., Savelyev, P. A., & Yavitz, A. Q. (2010a). Analyzing social experiments as implemented: A reexamination of the evidence from the High Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Quantitative Economics*, 1(1), 1–46.
12. Cooper, A., & Smith, E. L. (2012). *Homicide trends in the United States, 1980-2008* (pp. 536-543). BiblioGov.
13. Carrabine, E., Cox, P., Fussey, P., Hobbs, D., South, N., Thiel, D., & Turton, J. (2014). *Criminology: A sociological introduction*. Routledge.
14. Rowe, D. C., Vazsonyi, A. T., & Flannery, D. J. (1995). Sex differences in crime: Do means and within-sex variation have similar causes?. *Journal of research in Crime and Delinquency*, 32(1), 84-100.
15. Hunter, J., Carter, M. M., & Matson, S. (1999). *Understanding juvenile sexual offending behavior: Emerging research, treatment approaches and management*

---

practices. Washington, DC: Center for Sex Offender Management.

16. Bennett, S., Farrington, D. P., & Huesmann, L. R. (2005). Explaining gender differences in crime and violence: The importance of social cognitive skills. *Aggression and violent behavior*, 10(3), 263-288.
17. Berthelon, M. E., & Kruger, D. I. (2011). Risky behavior among youth: Incapacitation effects of school on adolescent motherhood and crime in Chile. *Journal of public economics*, 95(1-2), 41-53.
18. Weston, H. E. (1939). *The Role of the School in Crime Prevention*. YB, 28.